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This article presents an analysis of changes in the development and expansion of implementation of organizations’ environmental protection measures, as influenced by globalization and internationalization processes on the world’s economy and various fields of activity, and their impact on competitiveness. The study describes the system of international environmental certification and other sustainable development protection measures, encompassing the eco-labeling of products, accommodation and recreation services, forest and timber supply chains, the energy certification of buildings, the ISO ecological and ergonomic certification of computer equipment and mobile phones, identification of packaging materials, environmental management systems (EMS) certification, etc. The article also presents the international benchmarking of the ecological footprint – an integral indicator reflecting sustainable development. It should be noted that the current level of human consumption already exceeds the Earth’s ecological potential, i.e., the ecological footprint of all the countries in the world exceeds nature’s capacity for regeneration. Lithuania has a positive ecological balance; meanwhile, the ecological footprint of the Ukraine and Belarus exceeds the country’s biological potential respectively by 0.3 and 0.4 global hectares per person.

The features and problem areas of EMS (namely, ISO 14001 and EMAS), and the process of implementation of other measures in Lithuania and in an international context are also presented. For a more objective comparison of sustainable development in individual countries and regions, the author suggests the use of a new indicator – the number of certificates (ISO 14001, EMAS, ecological products, etc.) per 1 million inhabitants of a country’s total population. Although there was a marked increase in the implementation of ISO 14001 systems in recent years, according to the number of implemented environmental management systems per 1 million inhabitants, Lithuania lags considerably behind not only the most developed nations, but also some of the new EU countries. To date, there are no EMAS environmental systems in place in Lithuania, yet they are being successfully implemented in other EU countries and in the neighbouring Baltic countries. The main reason why this type of system of environmental measures has not been implemented in Lithuania is an inadequate understanding on the part of the leaders of organizations of the essential differences between EMAS and ISO 14001 (this was noted by 89% of respondents). It is recommended that the government institutions responsible for formulating Lithuania’s environmental policies boost their environmental certification infrastructure, carry out the realistic development of “green purchases”, and encourage Lithuanian organizations to create and certify EMAS environmental systems, while secondary schools should be drawn into the globally acknowledged and effective Kids’ ISO 14000 programs. The implementation of such a program in our country is very important and deserves to receive government funding as well as funding from business organizations declaring their social responsibility. The education of children in environmental awareness is one of the most important ways to solve environmental concerns in Lithuania and throughout the world, and to develop the way towards creating an ecological balance. Effective environmental education should also involve tertiary students from all disciplines, business enterprises, and public sector and government administration institutions.
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Introduction

The growing demand, on a global scale, to protect the environment – air, water, the life of humanity, the animal and plant world, natural resources and ecosystems – will be determined by essential policy changes of organizations covering all fields of activity, such as manufacture, services, marketing, consumer and state leadership, international economic and political organizations. It is no longer sufficient to solve ecological problems through the environmental activities of only some countries – the combined and coordinated efforts of all countries and international organizations are necessary.

In the 1970s, there was an intensive move towards the preparation of environmental legislation, technical regulations, and normative documents. At the same time, concepts such as eco-business, environmentally-orientated activities, continuous development, balanced development, cohesive development, and sustainable development started to appear in academic articles and documents (Burinskaite, 2009; Heymsfield, 2009; Ciegis et al., 2009; Grybaite & Tvaronaviciene, 2009; Grunde, 2009; Holly, 2000; Karlavicius, 2009; Mahlman, 1998; Marijamo, 2001; Reano, 2008; Ruzevicius, 2009; Zutshi & Sohal, 2004 et al.). According to the principle of sustainable development, a country’s economic and social development should be orientated in such a way that the satisfaction of today’s needs would not impinge on the opportunities for satisfying the needs of future generations. The Gro Brundtland Commission’s report Our Common Future (1987) highlights

* A commission created in the name of environmental protection, analysing the problems of humanity’s future life in the environment.
that the government, public organizations, and enterprises are not only able to, but must combine and direct their efforts towards solving environmental concerns, as they pose a risk to the existence of society itself. Later, in 1992, the International Chamber of Commerce Business Charter for Sustainable Development formulated 16 principles enterprises were advised to follow in the field of environmental protection. Almost at the same time, the European Union Common Social Responsibility Policy was formulated and passed, where most attention was directed to the main sectors of economy and society. It foresaw new market methods that would aim to minimize and regulate negative impacts on the environment, and the broad distribution of information. At the crux of the Common Social Responsibility Policy are the principle of social responsibility and the support of voluntary activities in environmental protection. International organizations and governments are starting to realize that traditional environmental control measures and state regulation based on strict administrative methods and coercion can no longer ensure a stable ecological situation. It is important that individual enterprises continuously improve their activities in the field of environmental protection. For this to take place, in addition to the mandatory environmental requirements that are defined in laws and other legal acts, new measures are being created that encourage enterprises of different sectors (industry, services, banking, logistic, agriculture, etc.) to willingly strive towards achieving better ecological results (Burinskiene, 2009; Grybaite & Tvaronaviciene, 2009; Grundey (2009); Karlavicius, 2009; Relano, 2008; Ruzevicius, 2009).

The media event of 1992 was undoubtedly the United Nations Conference in Rio de Janeiro for environment and development, better known as the “Earth Summit”. The declaration in which this provision was consolidated on a global scale, at the Earth Summit, was signed by many countries, including Lithuania. Every nation should have an environmental policy uniting all sectors of the economy and territorial development strategies. This event changed outlooks in several ways of sustainable development and social responsibility. The key change – it became received wisdom that sustainable development should address not only environmental issues, but should seek equilibrium between the aspects of environment, social activity and the economy (Rennings et al., 2006; Ruzevicius & Waginger, 2007; Scot, 2003; Ubius & Alas, 2009). Lithuania signed the declaration containing this point together with other countries in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. Every state must have an environmental policy, which links all development strategies of economy branches and territories.

Seeking for the highest international competitiveness and unexceptionable quality level of products and services, companies must manage their work by following the principles of sustainable development. Developing according to the principles of sustainable development, it has become increasingly clear that sustainability means long-term and far-reaching changes of technologies (Burinskiene, 2009; Ciegis & Ciegis, 2008; Rennings et al., 2006; Snieska, 2008). Because of the rising stakeholders’ pressure, successful companies are engaged to share their success with others and to benefit people, business and environment. Organizations are about to form informal social-economic contract between an organization and its stakeholders. Today such a situation may be called “corporate life”. The organizations are being motivated to improve both social and environmental practices and the cooperation with the stakeholders voluntary by the attempts of various international initiatives. In order to guarantee the durable partnership between the companies all around the world and the stakeholders concerned about the transparency of the businesses results, organizations consolidate into the global corporate social responsibility (CSR) networks, use various means to implement the concept of corporate social responsibility and sustainability into the business practice: from abstractly declared to standardized worldwide (Juscius & Snieska, 2008; Relano, 2008; Ruzevicius, 2009; Zutshi & Sohal, 2004). Direct and tight links between CRS and sustainable development are clearly visible. On the other hand, organizations’ public reports have shown a marked change from the purely environmental to the current wave of sustainable development and corporate responsibility reporting.

**The aim of the research:** to examine and identify the typology of international environmental management systems, tools and their elements, to analyze the development of their expansion in Lithuania and in a worldwide business context, and to formulate insight on how they might be implemented more effectively.

**The research object:** environmental management systems, tools and their elements used in the Lithuanian, European and global businesses.

**The research methods:** systematic analysis of scientific, normative, and statistical literature, logical analysis, benchmarking and summary of organizations’ environmental activities, author’s research conducted on Lithuanian organizations.

**Ecological footprint as an integral indicator of sustainable development**

Ecological footprint (EF) is an integral indicator reflecting national and global sustainable development. It shows the effect inhabitants of a particular region or country have on the environment they live in and on natural resources (Wackernagel et al., 2004). EF is the area of land and water (in global hectares – gha) required to sustain one individual’s current level of consumption (food, housing, transport, energy, waste disposal, etc.). This unit of measurement allows the evaluation of the relationship between people’s consumer needs and the existing natural resources. A global hectare is a measurement of the average biocapacity of the Earth, based on resource manufacture and waste assimilation, that is, the Earth’s biologically productive area of land and marine zones expressed in hectares. The EF reflects humanity’s anthropogenic impact on global ecosystems.

It should be noted that the current level of human consumption already exceeds the Earth’s ecological potential, i.e., the ecological footprint of all the countries in the world exceeds nature’s capacity for regeneration (see Table). In 2005, the EF of the entire population of the world equalled 17.5 billion gha (on average 2.7 gha per person), while the Earth’s total productive land and marine area (or
biological potential) was only 13.6 billion gha (2.1 gha per person). In other words, according to the current rate of global consumption, humanity needs 1.3 planet Earths.

Traditionally wasteful countries like the USA, the United Arab Emirates, and high income countries in the EU leave the greatest EF. The EF of one US citizen is 9.4 gha. Seven percent of the world’s population live in the territory of the European Union, yet they use 17 % of the world’s natural resources. The EF of EU citizens exceeds the biological ecosystem potential 2.2 times. From 1961 until 2005, the ecological footprint of the EU grew by 70 % (Europe…, 2006) If people all over the world followed the same model of consumption as US citizens, we would need 4.5 planet Earths, and if everyone consumed the same as citizens of the developed EU countries, at least 3 planet Earths would be needed. Finland and Sweden have the biggest ecological reserve, and Spain and Greece have the biggest ecological deficit among EU countries. It should be noted that so far, Lithuania has a positive ecological balance, meanwhile, the ecological footprint of the Ukraine and the Belarus exceeds the country’s biological potential respectively by 0.3 and 0.4 gha (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country, region</th>
<th>Biocapacity</th>
<th>Ecological footprint</th>
<th>Ecological deficit (+) or reserve (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worldwide average</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>- 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High income countries</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>- 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle income countries</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income countries</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>- 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>- 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>- 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union average</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>- 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>- 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>- 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>- 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>- 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>+ 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>+ 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>+ 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>+ 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>+ 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>+ 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>+ 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>- 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>- 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>- 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: designed by the author, using Ecological..., 2008)

Today we can say that the Earth’s ecological footprint has doubled since the 1950s. The planet’s degradation is encouraged by globalization and the scale of international trade growth. In 1961, the ecological footprint of international trade objects made up 8% of the global EF, while in 2005 this value had grown to over 40% of the global EF. If there are no cardinal environmental and sustainable development measures applied in the near future on a global scale, then by 2040, the maintenance of the current, irresponsible levels of consumption and lifestyles will demand two planet Earths (Ecological…, 2008; Living…, 2009). This is why, both the EU, and all other economically-developed countries throughout the world should prepare a new sustainable development strategy that involves both the marked development of the production of renewable natural resources, and the implementation of various environmental measures (the typology and features of which will be discussed later in this article). Sustainable development can encourage the wider application of sustainable trade and fair trade principles and standards on a world-wide scale. Compliance with these standards is validated by a suitable certification and labeling system which encompasses the use of natural resources and energy, the formation of hazardous waste, and social responsibility and justice.

Environmental management tools system

Directive (mandatory) and voluntary, i.e., organization-initiated, environmental measures are applied to facilitate environmental conservation. An increase in the use of voluntary environmental measures in foreign countries and the ever-growing positive attitudes of Western consumers towards these measures can have an impact on the competitiveness of Lithuanian goods. According to the concept of sustainable development, Lithuania’s economic and social development must be orientated in such a way as to ensure that the satisfaction of today’s needs will not impinge on the opportunities for satisfying future generations’ needs. Voluntary environmental activities are becoming an important factor in boosting the image and value of an enterprise. In addition, these activities can be justified more and more often in an economic sense. International organizations, the government, and society became interested in the voluntary environmental activities of enterprises relatively recently – only in the late 1980s,
yet single, obvious examples of initiative were not unheard of. Special measures were created to support these activities, such as eco-labeling and environmental management standards, whose requirements supplemented the mandatory environmental requirements regulated by government institutions.

The environmental management systems ISO 14001 and EMAS (Eco-management and audit scheme), and eco-labeling, are identified as voluntary measures. Of these two types of environmental management systems (EMS), only ISO 14001 standards are being implemented in Lithuanian organizations thus far. The European system of standardized ecological management tools is presented in Figure 1.

**Environmental management system ISO 14001**

One of the most important expressions of environmental management and internationalization is the standardized creation of environmental management systems (EMS) and their systematic improvement and application in organizations in business and public sectors. The purpose of ISO 14001 is to bring order to the environmental concerns of an enterprise. It is an international standard that can be applied to any type and size of organization, under various geographical, social, and cultural conditions. ISO 14001 is designed for the enterprise that wishes to implement, maintain, and improve its environmental management system; ensure that it will comply with the organization’s own self-declared environmental policy; strive to conform to the requirements and publicly demonstrate its compliance; ensure that it abides by environmental legal requirements and norms; and seek to have its environmental management system certified by an external organization (Hillary, 2000; Scot, 2003). Compared to other environmental management systems, the advantage of ISO 14001 is its world-wide acceptance, clearly defined certification criteria, and performance of independent, standardized audits.

As of September 1, 2009, 451 Lithuanian enterprises had implemented the ISO 14001 EMS (Figure 2). The number of certified EMS in Lithuania increased in the period 2001–2008 more that thirty folds. The growth of this indicator in Lithuania is much faster than the world average (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). The creation of EMS helps ascertain, define and activate the technological, technical, and organizational opportunities existing in a great deal of enterprises – opportunities which can be used to resolve environmental problems.

It is very important that this voluntary environmental measure is accessible to the majority of manufacturing and non-manufacturing enterprises. For example, this EMS has
been implemented by Switzerland’s UBS Bank, Sweden’s Malardalen University, Riga’s hospital, France’s Auchan retail chain, to name but a few (Peglou, 2006; Ruzevicius & Waginger, 2007). Global practice has shown that EMS allows enterprises to reduce their negative impact on the environment by 20-30 % (Hillary, 2000; Uyar, 2008). China, Japan, Spain, and Italy have the greatest number of enterprises certified according to the ISO 14001 model (see Fig. 4).

However, the comparison and evaluation of countries according to the total number of certified organizations, as is commonplace in the official statistical publications of the ISO, is not informative enough. That is why, the author of this article suggests using a relative indicator to attain a more objective comparison – the number of ISO 14001 certificates per 1 million of a given country’s population. According to this relative environmental activity indicator, the ranking of countries is totally different – Brunei, Lichtenstein, and Sweden are front runners in the world, while Lithuania is overtaken not only by CIS countries such as Kazakhstan, Ukraine, and Russia (see Fig. 5), but also Germany, France, China, and the US. This indicator can enable the comparison of different countries’ and regions’ sustainable development efforts and their effectiveness.

Even though the total number of enterprises that had certified their environmental activities in Lithuania grew approximately 31 times in the 2001-2008 period (Figure 2), while on a world-wide scale this indicator grew only both the leading countries (Figure 5), and the new EU countries (Czech Republic, Slovenia, Estonia, and Hungary) in terms of the number of EMS per 1 million inhabitants. Thus, this issue should be of concern to both business organizations and government institutions.

Figure 2. Development of environmental management systems ISO 14001 in Lithuania by the number of certified enterprises, start of period (Source: designed by the author using data of the Lithuanian Standard Board)

Figure 3. Evolution of environmental management systems (ISO 14001 in the world by the number of certified enterprises, start of period (Source: designed by the author using The ISO Survey, 2008)
Figure 4. Worldwide top 10 countries (and Romania, Lithuania, Russia, Ukraine and Kazakhstan) by the number of ISO 14001 certificated enterprises, start of 2008
(Source: designed by the author using data of The ISO Survey, 2008)

Figure 5. Worldwide top 10 countries (and Lithuania, Kazakhstan, Ukraine, Russia) by the number of ISO 14001 certificated companies per 1 million of population (start of 2008)
(Source: designed by the author using The ISO Survey, 2008)

**EMAS – the European Community’s Eco-management and Audit Scheme**

This is a voluntary system for organizations that want to evaluate, manage, and improve their environmental performance. This system is open to all public and private organizations operating in the European Union and in the European Economic Area (Iceland, Lichtenstein, and Norway). EMAS was created in 1993 and started being implemented in April 1995, with a review in 2001.

At the beginning, EMAS systems were applied only to enterprises in the industrial sector. Currently, they have been adapted for organizations from all sectors. By participating in EMAS projects, a company is obliged to evaluate and improve its environmental performance and present all the relevant information to the public. Generally speaking, EMAS has the same environmental system creation cycle as ISO 14001, as well as similar requirements regarding environmental policy, management systems, activity programs and audits. The main difference compared to ISO 14001 is that according to the requirements of the EMAS model, the enterprise’s management must make a mandatory confirmation of its environmental statement (declaration). This statement is the organization’s report on the environmental state of the industrial object – an evaluation of important environmental problems, data on pollution emissions, waste formation, consumption of raw material, energy, and water, noise levels, etc. This statement is to be validated by an accredited agency. When the outcome of the decision is positive, the enterprise is included on the EMAS register (EMAS…, 2009; Steen, 2005). As of April 1, 2009, there were 4,356 EU and EEA organizations that had implemented EMAS systems.
According to the number of EMAS per 1 million inhabitants, Austria is the European leader (see Fig. 6). It is regretful that Lithuania and Bulgaria are the only EU countries that have no EMAS registered systems. Meanwhile, our neighbour Latvia is in 12th position among EU countries according to the total number of EMAS systems.

In order to ascertain the reason behind Lithuania’s lag in this respect, 250 Lithuanian organizations were surveyed at the end of 2008; among them, 50 had certified ISO 14001 environmental management systems in place. The main reason for not implementing this type of EMS was the inadequate understanding of the essential differences between EMAS and ISO 14001 (as noted by 89% of respondents). On the whole, the requirements of EMAS systems are higher, compared to ISO 14001 (Figure 7). The EMAS regulations specify the following additional requirements for organizations:

- Mandatory review of the existing environmental performance.
- Evaluation criteria must be available to the public.
- Employee participation in defining the organization’s environmental goals.
- Suppliers and contractors must also satisfy the environmental policy requirements.
- Environmental audit completed at least every three years.
- Recommended use of environmental protection effectiveness indicators.
- The organization must issue a public environmental statement.

In the opinion of certain industrial ecological specialists from the US and Great Britain, the EMAS principles are more progressive and create a more reliable foundation for seeking to achieve the main standards implementation goal – to reduce an organization’s negative impact on the environment. The creators of EMAS believe, that the certification of enterprises according to ISO 14001 can be an intermediary step towards full correspondences of the enterprise’s activities with EMAS requirements. The author’s research reveals the following generalizations: it has been found that some of the most
important motivating factors for the implementation of environmental management systems in Lithuania is the goal to reduce their ecological risk, increase their competitiveness, and maintain and broaden their position in foreign markets where there are strict environmental protection requirements, among others. These implementation motivators also improve the image of an enterprise. It is important to note that most of the surveyed enterprises export their production. Thus, concern for their image is related to the goal of establishing themselves in foreign markets (Hillary, 2000; Rios et al., 2006; Uyar, 2008).

The government institutions responsible for forming Lithuania’s environmental policies should encourage organizations to implement EMAS systems as they ensure a higher level of environmental protection, compared to the ISO 14001 EMS. The EMAS requires a mandatory environmental status review, publicly available evaluation criteria, that suppliers and contractors also meet the set environmental requirements, a Public Environmental Statement revealing the effectiveness of the organization’s environmental protection activities, beside other requirements. In other words, the experiences of the leading European countries indicate, that EMAS systems are being implemented not only by industrial enterprises, but also services and public sector organizations, such as hotels, restaurants, governmental and public sector institutions, education offices, hospitals, etc. (EMAS, 2009). This is an example that should be followed by both industrial and public sector organizations in Lithuania.

**EMS and fostering environmental awareness among children**

When tackling ecological problems, environmental education and fostering ecological awareness among our country’s children is of utmost importance. The United Nations, UNESCO and ISO support a new and very promising international initiative – the Kids’ ISO 14000 program. This environmental program for kids was created in 2000 by the Japanese non-profit organization Artech (Kids’..., 2009). The program’s exceptional significance was acknowledged by the International Standards Organization (ISO), giving the exclusive rights to use their ISO acronym in the program’s title – Kids’ ISO 14000. The main goal of the program is to create an environmentally aware global network of children. It is widely known that environmental problems are not bound by state borders, and that no single country can put an end to global warming alone. In addition, it is an ideal opportunity for children to understand the necessity of cooperation in solving global environmental problems.

Currently, over 1 million children world-wide are participating in the Kids’ ISO 14000 program (Kids’,... 2009). Fostering environmental awareness from childhood is important because it will shape the extent of their impact on the environment when they reach adulthood and start a business themselves or undertake other activities. It is the way to educate environmentally aware children. It should be noted, that 20% of the global population is aged between 10 and 19. What children and young people think and how they act in terms of the environment today will undoubtedly affect the environment in which they will live tomorrow. The development of environmental awareness from a young age will shape our future adults’ conservation efforts. The environmental education of children is one of the key ways of solving global environmental problems and aiming for an ecological balance. The implementation of such programme in Lithuania is very important and should be funded by the government as well as organizations declaring their social responsibility status. Fostering environmental awareness among children is one of the most important measures for tackling environmental problems in the country and the world, and for striving towards the establishment of an ecological balance.

**Conclusions**

The organizations are being motivated to improve both social and environmental practices and the cooperation with the stakeholders voluntary by the attempts of various international initiatives. Direct and tight links between CRS and sustainable development are clearly visible. CSR tends to focus less on the company itself and more on its activities – its community and social engagement, as well as environmental aspects. If CSR is to become widely accepted, if there is less threatening alternative to corporate sustainability, CSR become simply corporate responsibility.

Lithuanian organizations use various activity and product environmental certification systems and measures which improve the competitiveness and image of enterprises, as well as encourage and facilitate international trade. Although the implementation of the ISO 14001 EMS has markedly increased in recent years, according to the number of implemented systems per 1,000 of the country’s population, Lithuania lags quite far behind not just the leading countries in the world, but also some of the new EU countries. Many Western countries are becoming more and more involved in making “green purchases”. The absence of environmental quality identification on Lithuanian products is already a serious obstacle in the export of certain products and the development of tourism. In this sense, the problems encountered with the implementation of EMS and other environmental measures in Lithuania that were mentioned earlier can reduce the international competitiveness of Lithuanian enterprises.

The government institutions responsible for formulating Lithuania’s environmental policies should improve the certification infrastructure, carry out a realistic development of “green purchases”, encourage Lithuanian organizations to create and certify EMAS environmental management systems, and involve schools in the globally acknowledged and effective Kids’ ISO 14000 program. The implementation of such program in our country is very important and should be funded by the government as well as organizations declaring their social responsibility status. The environmental education of children is one the most important measures for solving environmental problems in our country and in the world, and helps to strive towards achieving an ecological balance. Effective environmental awareness should also involve tertiary students from all disciplines, business enterprises, as well as public sector and government administration institutions.

It is advisable to amend Lithuania’s public procurement laws to validate the competitive advantage of enterprises engaging in EMS (ISO 14001 or EMAS), quality management systems (ISO 9001, ISO 22000), social responsibility and
accountability systems (SA 8000), occupational health and safety management systems (OHSAS), and of products with environmental quality certification.

In order to achieve a more objective comparison of different countries’ and regions’ sustainable development efforts and their effectiveness, the author of this article suggests the introduction of a relative indicator – the number of implemented environmental measures (ISO 14001, EMAS, and others) per 1 million of the population of a given country.

In order to broaden the use of safe, non-hazardous, environmentally-safe products, it is recommended that nation-wide consumer education and information programs were organized, thereby increasing consumer competency in this field and developing the public’s ecological culture. Only an ecologically-sophisticated consumer can become a competent and demanding shopper, thereby forcing businesses and government institutions to act with purpose in this field.

At present, the world is battling the financial crisis which eventuated due to the over-evaluation of the potentials of financial resources and their relatively excessive use. However, humanity may one day face another fundamental global crisis as a result of its “ecological debt”, the inadequate understanding of the importance of sustainable development, and the out of control consumption of natural resources. The global community is using natural resources too intensively – at rates exceeding nature’s ability to regenerate their productivity potential. Humanity’s total ecological footprint is already 30% greater than the Earth’s biological capacity. That is why both EU countries and economically developed countries throughout the whole world should prepare a new sustainable development strategy encompassing the marked development of renewable natural resource production, as well as the effective implementation of various environmental protection measures. Sustainable development can also encourage the broader application of sustainable trade and fair trade principles and standards on a global scale.
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Aplinkosaugos vadybos priemonių sistemos analizė

Santrauka


Lietuvoje padidėjo apie 31 kartą, o pasaulio mastu šis rodiklis padidėjo tik apie 7 kartus, mūsų šalis, pagal AVS kiekį, skaičiuojant 1 mln. gyventojų, gerokai atsiekia tiek nuo pirmaujančių pasaulio šalių, tiek ir nuo kai kurių naujų ES šalių (Čekijos, Slovenijos, Estijos, Vengrijos). Taigi šiuo klausimu turėtų susirūpinti tiek verslo organizacijos, tiek ir valdžios institucijos.

Europos Bendrijos aplinkosaugos vadybos ir audito sistema EMAS yra savanoriškai taikoma priemonėms organizacijoms, siekiančioms įvertinti, valdyti ir pagerinti savo aplinkosaugos programą. Apskritai EMAS sistemų reikalavimai yra didesni, palyginti su ISO 14001 reikalavimais. Remiantis EMAS reglamentu organizacijoms keli tam tikie papildomi reikalavimai:

- prielaida atlikti aplinkos apsaugos būklės analizę;
- vertinimo kriterijai turi būti prieinami visuomenėi;
- darbuotojų turi dalyvauti mustant aplinkosaugos tikslus;
- tiekėjai ir subrangovai taip pat turi atitikti aplinkosaugos politikos reikalavimus;
- aplinkosaugos auditas turi būti atliekamas ne rečiau nei kas treišią metų;
- siūloma naudoti aplinkos apsaugos veiksmingumo indicatoriumis;
- turi būti pateikta vieša organizacijos aplinkosaugos veiksmingumo ataskaita.


Kita vertus, pirmaujančių Europos šalių patirtis rodo, kad EMAS sistemas diegia ne tik pramonės įmonės, bet ir paslaugų ir viešojo sektoriaus organizacijos: viešbučiai, restaurai, valstybės valdymo ir viešojo sektoriaus institucijos, švietimo įstaigos, ligoninės ir kt. Tai yra sektimas pavyzdžius mūsų šalies tiek tiek pramonės, tiek ir viešojo sektoriaus organizacijoms.

Lietuvos aplinkosaugos politiką formuojančioms valdžios institucijoms siūloma stiprinti sertifikavimo infrastruktūrą, realiai plėtoti „žaliusius pirkimus“ plėtotę, skatinti mūsų šalies organizacijas įkurti ir sertifikuoti EMAS aplinkosaugos sistemas, o mokylkla įtraukti į pasaulius šį pasiteisinusias ir veiksmingas „ISO 14000 vaikams“ programas. Vaiški aplinkosauginis ugdymas – viena iš svarbių priemonių spręsti šalies ir pasaulinės aplinkosaugos problemas ir siekti ekologiškos pasaulio kvalitės. Veiksmingo ekologinio ugdymo procesas turėtų vykti ir tarp visų specialiųjų studentų, verslo įmonių, viešojo sektoriaus bei valstybės administravimo institucijų. Lietuvos viešųjų pirkimų įstatyme tikslina padaryti pataisą, kaip konkurencinį pranašumą įteisinančią tai, kad minėtumėse pirkimuose dalyvaujančios įmonės privalo turėti AVS (ISO 14001 arba EMAS), kokybės vadybos sistemas (ISO 9001, ISO 22000), socialinės atsakomybės sistemas (SA 8000), darbuotojų saugos ir sveikatos vadybos sistemos (OHSAS), produktų aplinkosauginės kokybės sertifikatas. Siekiant plėsti saugų, nekenksmingų ir tautosauginių aplinką produktų vartojimą, siūloma valstybės mastu rengti vartotojų švietimą ir informavimo programas, taip kelti vartotojų šios srities kompetenciją ir visuomenės ekologinę kultūrą. Tik ekologikai išprusęs vartotojas taps kompetentingas ir reiklus vartotojas, tuo verslui ir valsties institucijoms veikti kryptingai šioje srityje.


Raktažodžiai: aplinkosaugos vadybos sistema, tausojamoji plėtra, ISO 14001, EMAS, aplinkosauginis ženklinimas, sertifikavimas, ekologinis pėdaskas, susreptinimas.
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